UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO	
10/584,041	06/21/2006	Albert W. Marsman	NL031501US1	6981
	7590	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 3001		HU, SHOUXIANG		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510-8001		001	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2811	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/29/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applica	pplication No. Applicant(s)					
		10/584	,041	MARSMAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			ner	Art Unit				
		Shouxia		2811				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on	the cover sheet with the d	correspondence ad	ldress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the period by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF CFR 1.136(a). In no ation. by period will apply and by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICATION event, however, may a reply be tird will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	n 12 Anril 2010						
· ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 April 2010</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
′=	Since this application is in condition for			osecution as to the	e merits is			
٥/ك	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-12,14 and 15 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 and 13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Applicati	on Papers							
9)🛛	The specification is objected to by the Ex	caminer.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔯 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-s nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/19/2010</u> .	948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/584,041 Page 2

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The specification fails to follow the standard format shown below. Appropriate correction is further recommended and/or required.

Content of Specification

- (a) <u>Title of the Invention</u>: See 37 CFR 1.72(a) and MPEP § 606. The title of the invention should be placed at the top of the first page of the specification unless the title is provided in an application data sheet. The title of the invention should be brief but technically accurate and descriptive, preferably from two to seven words may not contain more than 500 characters.
- (b) <u>Cross-References to Related Applications</u>: See 37 CFR 1.78 and MPEP § 201.11.
- (c) <u>Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research and Development</u>: See MPEP § 310.
- (d) The Names Of The Parties To A Joint Research Agreement: See 37 CFR 1.71(g).
- (e) Incorporation-By-Reference Of Material Submitted On a Compact Disc:
 The specification is required to include an incorporation-by-reference of electronic documents that are to become part of the permanent United States Patent and Trademark Office records in the file of a patent application. See 37 CFR 1.52(e) and MPEP § 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text were permitted as electronic documents on compact discs beginning on September 8, 2000.
- (f) <u>Background of the Invention</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(c). The specification should set forth the Background of the Invention in two parts:
 - (1) <u>Field of the Invention</u>: A statement of the field of art to which the invention pertains. This statement may include a paraphrasing of

Application/Control Number: 10/584,041

Art Unit: 2811

the applicable U.S. patent classification definitions of the subject matter of the claimed invention. This item may also be titled "Technical Field."

Page 3

- (2) Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 37 CFR 1.98: A description of the related art known to the applicant and including, if applicable, references to specific related art and problems involved in the prior art which are solved by the applicant's invention. This item may also be titled "Background Art."
- or general statement of the invention: See MPEP § 608.01(d). A brief summary or general statement of the invention as set forth in 37 CFR 1.73. The summary is separate and distinct from the abstract and is directed toward the invention rather than the disclosure as a whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it solves problems previously existent in the prior art (and preferably indicated in the Background of the Invention). In chemical cases it should point out in general terms the utility of the invention. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention or the inventive concept should be set forth. Objects of the invention should be treated briefly and only to the extent that they contribute to an understanding of the invention.
- (h) <u>Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s)</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A reference to and brief description of the drawing(s) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.74.
- (i) Detailed Description of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(g). A description of the preferred embodiment(s) of the invention as required in 37 CFR 1.71. The description should be as short and specific as is necessary to describe the invention adequately and accurately. Where elements or groups of elements, compounds, and processes, which are conventional and generally widely known in the field of the invention described and their exact nature or type is not necessary for an understanding and use of the invention by a person skilled in the art, they should not be described in detail. However, where particularly complicated subject matter is involved or where the elements, compounds, or processes may not be commonly or widely known in the field, the specification should refer to another patent or readily available publication which adequately describes the subject matter.
- (j) <u>Claim or Claims</u>: See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(m). The claim or claims must commence on separate sheet or electronic page (37 CFR 1.52(b)(3)). Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation.

Application/Control Number: 10/584,041

Art Unit: 2811

There may be plural indentations to further segregate subcombinations or related steps. See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(i)-(p).

Page 4

- (k) Abstract of the Disclosure: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A brief narrative of the disclosure as a whole in a single paragraph of 150 words or less commencing on a separate sheet following the claims. In an international application which has entered the national stage (37 CFR 1.491(b)), the applicant need not submit an abstract commencing on a separate sheet if an abstract was published with the international application under PCT Article 21. The abstract that appears on the cover page of the pamphlet published by the International Bureau (IB) of the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) is the abstract that will be used by the USPTO. See MPEP § 1893.03(e).
- (I) <u>Sequence Listing.</u> See 37 CFR 1.821-1.825 and MPEP §§ 2421-2431. The requirement for a sequence listing applies to all sequences disclosed in a given application, whether the sequences are claimed or not. See MPEP § 2421.02.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-9 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the term of "a first dielectric layer place thereon", but fails to clarify: whether it is definitely meant to refer to a first dielectric layer that is positioned on the recited control electrode.

Claim 2 recites the term of "representative", but fails to clarify: whether the recited gate electrode is definitely and/or uniquely formed of the recited control

electrode; and/or, whether the recited gate dielectric layer is definitely and/or uniquely formed of the recited first dielectric layer.

Claim 13 recites the terms of "a selection device" and "a storage device", but fails to clarify: what are their definite relationships with the same terms already defined in claim 1; and/or what are the respective relationships between the relevant elements/layers recited in claim 13, including: a first control electrode, a first dielectric layer, ..., and the same elements/layers already defined in claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-9 and 13, insofar as being in compliance with 35 U.S.C. 112, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagayama (US 2004/0119399 A1) in view of Dimitrakopoulos (US 5,981,970).

First, it is noted that: the limitations of "applicable for non-volatile memory purposes or latch-up circuits" have not necessarily been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to

Art Unit: 2811

stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

Furthermore, it is noted that any limitations recited and/or implicated in the claims about how the claimed device is made are process limitations, these would not carry patentable weight in this claim drawing to a structure, because distinct structure is not necessarily produced. <u>In re Thorpe</u>, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

Nagayama discloses a device (Fig. 4), comprising: a selection device/transistor (11) having a control/gate electrode (the portion of G that is in region 11), a first dielectric layer (GIF), a source; a drain (D); an organic semiconductor film/layer (OSF); and a storage device/capacitor (13) comprising a second dielectric layer (13b) between a first and second electrodes (13a, 13c), wherein the first dielectric layer of the selection device and the second dielectric layer of the storage device are individual parts of a same dielectric layer (GIF), and

wherein the control/gate electrode (the portion of G in region 11) and the first electrode (13a) are electrically isolated elements in a same conductive layer (the entire layer G).

Although Nagayama does not expressly disclose that the dielectric layer can be formed of a ferroelectric material, one of the ordinary skill in the art would readily recognize that ferroelectric material is commonly and desirably used to form a capacitor dielectric layer for increasing its capacitance due to its high dielectric constant, and/or to form a high-dielectric-constant gate dielectric layer for improving the performance of the

Art Unit: 2811

transistor, as evidenced in Dimitrakopoulos (see the ferroelectric gate insulating layer in the cover page figure; also see col. 3, lines 26-47).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the art-known ferroelectric dielectric material, such as the one of Dimitrakopoulos, into the device of Nagayama, so that a device with improved performance for the transistor and/or capacitor therein would be obtained, as it has been held that:

The selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use supported a prima facie obviousness determination in Sinclair & Carroll Co. v. Interchemical Corp., 325 U.S. 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945).

Regarding claim 6, it is further noted that it is well-known in the art that PEDOT/PSS is commonly used in the art to form the electrodes in organic electronic devices for achieving simplified process and/or reduced cost.

Regarding claim 9, it is further noted that, at least in the device of Nagayama, the dielectric layer (GIF) comprises a hole (TH).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed on April 12, 2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

First, it is noted that, as clearly shown in Figs. 3 and 4 in Nagayama, the above identified first dielectric layer (GIF) of the selection device and second dielectric layer (GIF) of the storage device in Nagayama are indeed formed of individual parts of a

same dielectric layer (GIF), regardless whether or not there is any contact hole formed

Page 8

therein.

Furthermore, applicant's arguments allege that the prior art does not teach the claimed invention because Nagayama fails to teach certain features including: there is no discontinuity in the same dielectric/ferroelectric layer, and/or the first electrode of the capacitor does not also function as any gate electrode, and/or, the control gate and the first electrode are electrically isolated "on a same conductive layer of the selection device". In response to these arguments, it is noted that such features upon which applicant relies are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/584,041 Page 9

Art Unit: 2811

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shouxiang Hu whose telephone number is 571-272-1654. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne Gurley can be reached on 571-272-1670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Shouxiang Hu/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2811